

A MESSAGE from the Lower to the Upper House, on the 9th of April 1762, accompanying the Supply Bill, which was then sent up.

May it please your HONOURS,

O answer the Royal Expectations, communicated to us by his Excellency the Governor at the Opening of this Session, we have framed and passed the Bill which goes to your Honours with this Message: We have formed it upon such a Plan, as to us seems most suitable to the Circumstances of our Constituents, and in such a Manner as we conceive the best adapted to the Nature of the Plan; but as in a new System of such a Length, and of an intricate Nature, some Parts may possibly be found liable to Objections, which may have escaped us, and as we, out of a sincere Regard for his Majesty's Service, and an earnest

Desire to effect a Termination of that Difference of Sentiment, which has unhappily too long subsisted between the Upper and Lower Houses of Assembly, with Respect to the Point of raising Supplies for the Service of the Crown, are willing to depart from a strict parliamentary Course, and for the present to wave our Right respecting the Mode of Proceeding upon Money Bills, we therefore hope that your Honours will shew the same good Disposition; and that if in the Course of your Consideration of our Bill, any Objections to it should occur, you will also overlook the Strictness of parliamentary Forms, and point them out to us, and we assure your Honours they shall have their due Weight.

Signed per Order, J. A. Thomas, Cl. Lo. Ho.

A MESSAGE from the Upper to the Lower House, on the 13th of April 1762, accompanying the Supply Bill, which was then sent down with a Negative.

By the UPPER HOUSE of ASSEMBLY, 13th April, 1762.

GENTLEMEN.

Rate of the Lambert of the Supplies of the Service, the Security and Defence of the Inhabitants, or for other Purposes, not only to amend Supply Bills that had been framed in the Lower, but often to prepare such Bills themselves, and send them down for the Concurrence of the Lower House distribution of this Sort were commonly drawn by a Committee of both Houses) we are consident it would not have been irregular, or contrary to the established Mode of Proceeding, for this House to have proposed Amendments to the Bill you were pleased to send us last Friday, nor should we have